

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address:

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---|--------------|---------------------|
| 09/114,015 | 07/10/98 | 3 JOHANSEN | | S | 163.1247US01 |
| | | QM02/0920 | 7 | | EXAMINER |
| MERCHANT GOULD SMITH EDELL WELTER & SCHMIDT 3100 NORWEST CENTER | | | | GRAVIN | NI,S |
| | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| MINNEAPOLIS | | 4131 | | 3744 | 6 |
| | | | • | DATE MAILED: | 09/20/99 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/114,015

Appl sint(s)

Scott A. JOHNASEN et al.

Examiner

Steve Gravini

Group Art Unit 3744



| X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 7, 1998</u> | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| ☐ This action is FINAL . | |
| ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, p in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. | |
| A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire1 is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within tapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be 37 CFR 1.136(a). | the period for response will cause the |
| Disposition of Claims | |
| | _ is/are pending in the application. |
| Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideration. |
| Claim(s) | is/are allowed. |
| ☐ Claim(s) | is/are rejected. |
| ☐ Claim(s) | is/are objected to. |
| | |
| Application Papers | _ |
| ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 | |
| ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Exam | |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐appro | oved disapproved. |
| ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | |
| \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | |
| ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d). |
| ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents | nents have been |
| ☐ received. | |
| received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) | · |
| received in this national stage application from the International Burea | au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). |
| *Certified copies not received: | |
| ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(e). |
| Attachment(s) | |
| ☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 | |
| X Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)4 | |
| ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 | |
| ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 | |
| | |
| SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PA | CES |

Application/Control Number: 09/114,015 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-10, drawn to a drying method, classified in class 34, subclass 381.
 - II. Claims 11-18, drawn to a drying apparatus, classified in class 34, subclass 62.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions of group I and group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the independently claimed method of energizing a flow of water from a water spray within a housing to create a zone of reduced pressure in the housing in fluid communication between the machine interior and the housing along with the feature of the reduced pressure introducing fresh atmosphere into the machine while removing the heated atmosphere is not found in the independently claimed apparatus. The independently claimed apparatus recites at least one inlet, at least one conduit, a water jet, the spray nozzle, a machine enclosure, and a housing. These recitations patentably distinguish the independently claimed method from the independently claimed apparatus, since they are not recited in the independently claimed method. Thus, the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand because

Application/Control Number: 09/114,015 Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

the independently claimed method can be practiced with components other than those recited in

the independently claimed apparatus.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Since the requirement for restriction is complex, authorization for this written restriction

requirement is per MPEP § 812.01.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Steve Gravini whose telephone number is (703) 308-7570 and electronic

transmission / e-mail address is "steve.gravini@.uspto.gov". If applicants chose to send

information by e-mail, please be aware that confidentiality of the electronically transmitted

message cannot be assured. Information may also be sent to the examiner by facsimile machine

at (703) 308-7764. Please see MPEP § 502.02.

Stephen M Gravini

PRIMARY EXAMINER

smg

September 15, 1999